

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/040,584	KHO, SAMUEL	
	<b>Examiner</b> RYAN F. PITARO	<b>Art Unit</b> 2174	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) RYAN F. PITARO. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Zurvan Mahamedi. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 02 June 2009.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference  
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.22,35.

Identification of prior art discussed: \_\_\_\_\_.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The Examiner and the attorney of record spoke about small amendments in the claims to make the claims in condition for allowance, namely limited the multi-directional input to buttons and cancelling the claim set of 35 for a differing scope of invention.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Ryan F Pitaro/  
Examiner, Art Unit 2174